

Environmental assessment – introduction

Peter van Vliet Ctgb

Paulien Adriaanse Alterra

WAGENINGENUR

Objective of environmental assessment

- Assess whether the proposed uses of the pesticide to be registered pose an unacceptable risk to the environment.
 - pesticide
 -> simplified to active ingredient
 - proposed uses -> application pattern for <u>registration</u>
 - unacceptable risk -> criteria ?

Political choice, not scientific choice

ctg

- the environment -> what ? where ? how strict ?



Factors affecting the definition of registration criteria

• Legal requirements

- other legislation setting standards (e.g. water quality, international conventions)
- Political and social support
 - importance given to environmental protection
- Agronomic conditions
 - condition of use; likelihood of Good Agricultural Practice
- Environmental conditions
 - specific national/regional situations, protected species



Definitions (OECD, 2003)

- **Hazard** Inherent property of an agent or situation having the potential to cause adverse effects when an organism, system or (sub) population is exposed to that agent.
- **Risk** The probability of an adverse effect in an organism, system or (sub) population caused under specified circumstances by exposure to an agent.

➤ Evaluation of elements of the pesticide dossier, as well as the acceptability criteria, can be hazard-based or risk-based.



Environmental risk assessment – principles

- Hazard assessment

 based on intrinsic properties of the pesticide, irrespective of exposure (dose) rate
- Risk assessment → based both on the properties of the pesticide and on exposure (dose) rate or probability
- Environmental risk assessment is preferred approach in pesticide registration, but requires locally relevant exposure estimates ("the dose makes the poison")
- Environmental hazard assessment is easier, but often overprotective



Acceptability criteria for pesticide registration

Many registration criteria are risk-based, but some are hazardbased

- Hazard-based criteria: dependent on intrinsic properties of the pesticide, irrespective of exposure (dose) rate
 - Example: the pesticide shall not persist in the soil for more than one year (i.e. $DT_{90} > 1$ year or $DT_{50} > 3$ months).
- Risk-based criteria: dependent on inherent properties of the pesticide <u>and</u> exposure level or probability
 - Example: no authorization will be granted if the predicted concentration in surface water is more than 10% of the No Effect Concentration for fish



Environmental risk assessment – approach in EU

EXPOSURE – ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY



Environmental risk assessment – approach in EU

• Risk characterization: comparison between an estimate of an ecological effect and an exposure estimate:

 $Risk \ estimate = \frac{safe \ concentration \ or \ dose}{predicted \ environmental \ concentration}$

- Predominantly, deterministic methods:
 - ✤ fixed values for exposure, toxicity and risk;
 - allow for variability and uncertainty by using worst-case assumptions and safety factors



Example: Toxicity-Exposure Ratio (TER; used in the EU)

TER = <u>Toxicity value (LD50, LC50, NEC)</u> Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

In United States the ETR is used (PEC / Toxicity)

ctgb



Risk estimate

TER value compared to a criterion

Registration criteria comprise a safety factor:

- Variation between individuals
- Variation between species
- Lab to field extrapolation
- Acute to long-term effects
- If the TER meets the registration criterion, the risk is acceptable
- If the TER does not meet the registration criterion, the risk is not acceptable and a higher tier risk assessment is necessary



Criteria for authorization of plant protection products

- Criteria for authorization of plant protection products in the EU:
 - General criteria for acceptability of a plant protection product
 - More specific criteria, for particular environmental compartments and organisms, in the "Uniform Principles"
- Uniform principles (chemical pesticides)
 - only established in 1997

(6 years after adoption of main legislation!)

• Now an update of the Uniform principles



General principles relevant to the environment

- Principle of a high standard of protection
 - Protection of human health and environment takes priority over the objective of plant protection.
- Principle of prevention of pollution or unacceptable effects
 - E.g. pesticide dose as low as possible, even where higher amounts do not result in unacceptable risk.
 - E.g. expected concentration groundwater meets drinking water standard.
- Precautionary principle
 - Take into account potentially dangerous effects if there are reasonable grounds for concern, even though scientific evidence is insufficient.



Specific registration criteria

- Criteria for the authorization of plant protection products (formally not for active ingredients)
 - Ensure that dossier information is evaluated in the same way throughout the EU.
 - Ensure that the same standards are used throughout the EU for accepting a plant protection product.
 - Provide transparency in decision-making.
- Criteria are defined for "normal use conditions"



"Unless ..." principle:

• If an acceptability criterion under the uniform principles is not complied with, no authorization shall be granted...

... unless it is clearly established though an appropriate risk assessment that under field conditions no unacceptable impact occurs ...

- Applicant must demonstrate absence of unacceptable effects.
- No definition of *appropriate risk assessment*.
 (but guidance documents exist for most groups of organisms)



Environmental risk assessment – approach in EU



Pesticide fate modelling







Pesticide fate processes



WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITEIT WAGENINGEN UR

environmental compartments



WAGENINGENUR

Environmental fate studies in EU

- Tier I studies laboratory-based
- Limited field studies required in higher tiers
- Test protocols highly standardised
 - Testing guidelines:
 - + OECD guidelines
 - + EEC methods
 - + Others (e.g. SETAC)
- Internationally agreed
- Strict quality assurance required for most fate studies (Good Laboratory Practice GLP)



- **FOCUS**: FOrum for the Co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their USe
- Harmonisation of the calculation of predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) of active substances of plant protection products
- Development of standard scenarios
 - standardised combinations of weather, soil and cropping data which collectively represent agriculture in the EU



Models to predict environmental concentrations (PECs)

- Soil: simple equations (no computer models)
- **Groundwater:** 4 computer simulation models
- Surface water:
 - Tier 1 & 2: one basic computer simulation model
 - Tier 3: three more detailed computer models
- **Bird/mammal food:** simple equations (spreadsheet model)



Environmental risk assessment – approach in EU

EXPOSURE – ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY



environmental compartments



Toxicity studies in the EU

- Tier I studies laboratory-based
- Few field studies required, even in higher tiers
- Test protocols highly standardised
 - Testing guidelines:
 - + OECD guidelines
 - + EEC methods
 - + Others (e.g. SETAC, EPPO)
- Internationally agreed
- Strict quality assurance required for most toxicity studies (Good Laboratory Practice GLP)



- Type and number of toxicity studies is variable and depends on:
 - type of pesticide
 - conditions of use
 - results from lower tiers
- Example: insecticide used on a field crop:
 - minimum data set: ± 20 laboratory toxicity studies
 - often 30 50 studies



Tiered (=step-wise) risk assessment



Tiered (=step-wise) risk assessment



- Advantages of tiered risk assessment:
 - Applicants only provide data needed for the expected risk assessment tier → less data required for less hazardous pesticides.
 - Registration authority does not evaluate data and carry out assessments which are not necessary to determine acceptable risk.
 - Both applicants and registration authority do not waste time, staff and money.
- Disadvantages of tiered risk assessment:
 - Need to define data requirements depending on level of risk.
 - Need for good communications between applicants and registration authorities.





<u>Criss-cross model (allows flexibility in the tiered approach of the ERA)</u>

ctgb





• Thank you for your attention!!!





